

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/509,160 | ICHIKI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Timothy C. Vanoy | 1793 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) Timothy C. Vanoy.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Lee Cheng, applicants' attorney.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 December 2007
Time: 1:35 pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

12, 16 and 18

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Timothy C Vanoy
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested authorization to correct the grammatical informalities the temperature ranges in claims 12, 16 and 18 by properly inserting oC via an Examiner's Amendment. Attorney granted the examiner authorization to make these amendments via an Examiner's Amendment.